

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/556,833	11/15/2005	Cristina Gomila	PU040092	1687	
24498 7590 05/10/2011 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC			EXAMINER		
			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI		
111100001,110	00010 0014		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/10/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/556,833	GOMILA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
STEPHEN BRINICH	2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earmen	parent	remi	acıjusın	IBIT.	366.31	OFR	1.704(D	Į

Status			
2a) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Februar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action Since this application is in condition for allowance ex closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pari	n is non-final. cept for formal matters, prosecution	
Dispositio	on of Claims		
5) 🖾 (6) 🖾 (7) 🔲 (Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn froi Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elect		
Application	on Papers		
10) □ T , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al accepted spelicant may not request that any objection to the drawin epplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is riche oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 koknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit	g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR required if the drawing(s) is objected to. er. Note the attached Office Action of	1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d). or form PTO-152.
a)□ 1 2	All b Some * c None of:	e been received. e been received in Application No scuments have been received in this T Rule 17.2(a)).	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-942) attion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Appl 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/556,833 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments (2/25/11 Response: page 6, line 6 - page 7, line 2) with respect to claims 1, 7, 9-10, 14-15, 21, & 26 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 7, 9-10, 14-15, 21, & 26 under 35 USC §112 has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made of claim 25 in view of 35 USC §112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 is directed to a "method" but depends from claim 17, which is directed to an "apparatus".

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-24 & 26 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/556,833
Art Unit: 2625

Applicant's arguments (2/25/11 Response: page 6, line 6 - page 7, line 2) with respect to claims 1, 7, 9-10, 14-15, 21, & 26 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application, entry of papers into this application, or other any inquiries of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor Mark Zimmerman can be contacted at 571-272-7653.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

/Stephen M Brinich/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625